1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 12-00119 SI
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
13	v.	MOTION TO COMPEL
14	JOSEPH ORTIZ,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On May 4, 2012, the Court held a hearing on defendant's motion to compel. As discussed a	
18	the hearing, in light of the filing of the superseding indictment the Court finds that it will be more	
19	efficient to set a discovery plan for the case as a whole rather than ordering the production of discovery	
20	on a piecemeal basis.	
21	Accordingly, the Court DENIES defendant's motion. The Court will address discovery matters	
22	at the June 22, 2012 hearing. This order resolves Docket Nos. 12 and 28.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		Suran Illston
26	Dated: May 7, 2012	SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27		OTHER STRIES PISTRICT JUDGE
28		

Case 3:12-cr-00119-SI Document 58 Filed 05/07/12 Page 1 of 1